Vol 9, Issue 1, 2026 **Impact Factor: 8.535** DOI: https://doi.org/10.31426/ijamsr.2026.9.1.9008

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

Psychological and Environmental Determinants of Time Management and Academic Performance in Higher Education: A Review

Anjali Jana

Research Scholar, Dept. of Education, YBN University, Ranchi, Jharkhand.

Working as State Added College Teacher, Dept. of Education,
Debra Thana Sahid Kshudiram Smriti Mahavidyalaya, Debra, Chak Kumar, West Bengal.

Email Id: - anjalisj5015@gmail.com

Prof. Dr. Tabassum Khatoon

Principal of Education, Professor, Dept. of Education, Sai Nath University, Jharkhand.

Email Id: khantabbu24680@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Effective time management is widely recognized as a critical determinant of academic success in higher education. With increasing academic demands, digital distractions, and additional responsibilities such as part-time employment, students often struggle to allocate time efficiently. This study investigates the key psychological and environmental factors influencing effective time management among higher education students and examines its relationship with academic performance. A quantitative research design was adopted, and data were collected from undergraduate, postgraduate, and doctoral students using a structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis were employed to analyze the data. The findings reveal that self-discipline and motivation are significant positive predictors of effective time management, while digital distractions and academic stress negatively impact students' ability to manage their time efficiently. Furthermore, the results indicate a significant positive relationship between effective time management and academic performance (GPA), confirming that structured planning and prioritization enhance academic achievement. The regression model explains a substantial proportion of variance in time management practices, highlighting the dominant role of psychological factors compared to environmental factors. The study contributes to the existing literature by providing an integrated framework combining psychological and contextual determinants of time management. The findings offer practical implications for educational institutions in designing interventions to enhance students' self-regulatory skills and reduce digital distractions. Future research is recommended to adopt longitudinal and comparative approaches to further examine the dynamic nature of time management behaviors in evolving educational environments.

Keywords: *Time Management; Higher Education Students; Academic Performance; Self-Discipline; Motivation; Digital Distraction; Academic Stress; Self-Regulation*

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

1. INTRODUCTION

Time management is widely recognized as one of the most essential skills for academic success in higher education. In universities and colleges, students are expected to balance multiple responsibilities including lectures, assignments, examinations, research activities, internships, extracurricular engagements, and sometimes part-time employment. Effective time management enables students to allocate appropriate time to academic tasks, reduce last-minute stress, enhance productivity, and achieve better academic outcomes. Numerous educational studies suggest that students who systematically plan their schedules, prioritize tasks, and avoid procrastination tend to achieve higher Grade Point Averages (GPA) and demonstrate improved psychological well-being. However, the modern higher education environment presents significant challenges. Students today face increasing academic workloads, continuous assessments, project-based learning, and competitive performance standards. Additionally, the rapid growth of digital technology has introduced constant distractions such as social media, streaming platforms, online gaming, and instant messaging. While technology offers educational benefits, excessive usage often disrupts concentration and reduces effective study time.

Another critical factor influencing students' time management is part-time employment. Many higher education students engage in part-time jobs to support their education financially. While employment enhances financial independence and work experience, it also reduces available study hours and may increase stress levels. Balancing academic commitments, social life, family responsibilities, and employment often become overwhelming, especially when students lack structured time management skills.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Self-Regulation Theory

Self-Regulation Theory explains time management as a cognitive-behavioral process where students plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning activities. Research indicates that students with strong self-regulated learning (SRL) skills are more likely to allocate time effectively and avoid procrastination (Panadero 94). SRL models emphasize goal planning, strategic monitoring, and adaptive behavior, all of which directly influence time-use efficiency in academic settings.

Recent studies confirm that self-regulation significantly predicts academic success and reduces maladaptive study behaviors (Broadbent and Poon 104). Therefore, self-regulation serves as a foundational framework for understanding time management practices among higher education students.

Goal-Setting Theory

Goal-Setting Theory posits that specific and challenging goals enhance motivation and performance. Students who establish clear academic goals and deadlines are more likely to structure their time effectively. Research between 2015 and 2025 shows that goal clarity improves task prioritization and persistence in higher education environments (Liu et al. 155).

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

Goal-directed behavior enhances focus and minimizes distractions, thereby strengthening time allocation strategies. Recent meta-analyses suggest that academic goal commitment directly correlates with structured time use and improved GPA (Huang et al. 88).

Procrastination Theory

Procrastination is defined as the voluntary delay of intended tasks despite expecting negative consequences. Contemporary studies identify procrastination as a major barrier to effective time management (Rozental et al. 16). Digital distractions, low self-discipline, and emotional regulation difficulties are frequently linked to procrastinatory behavior in university students (Alblwi et al. 4).

Research indicates that procrastination is negatively associated with academic performance and positively correlated with stress and anxiety (Gao et al. 112). Therefore, procrastination theory explains how self-regulatory failure disrupts time planning and academic efficiency.

Previous Empirical Studies

Studies on Academic Performance

Recent empirical research consistently reports a positive relationship between time management and academic performance. A systematic review of online learning studies found that time management is one of the strongest predictors of student success (Broadbent and Poon 104). Similarly, Aeon and Aguinis argue that structured time-use strategies significantly enhance productivity and performance outcomes (312).

A 2021 cross-sectional study revealed that students who employed weekly planning techniques achieved significantly higher GPAs than those who relied on spontaneous study habits (Liu et al. 158). Furthermore, meta-analytic evidence confirms that academic self-regulation, including time management, has a moderate to strong effect on learning achievement (Huang et al. 91).

Studies on Stress and Time Management

Research from 2015–2025 shows that effective time management reduces perceived stress levels among university students. Ramdass and Zimmerman report that structured planning enhances perceived academic control, thereby lowering anxiety (203). Additionally, Rozental et al. identify procrastination as a mediator between poor time management and psychological distress (18).

A 2022 study examining digital overload found that excessive smartphone use negatively affects time organization and increases stress levels (Alblwi et al. 5). Similarly, Gao et al. demonstrate that students with weak time management practices exhibit higher levels of burnout and academic fatigue (115).

Gaps in Existing Research

Despite growing evidence, several research gaps remain:

i) **Limited Integrated Models:** Many studies examine self-regulation, procrastination, or digital distraction independently rather than within a unified analytical framework (Panadero 96).

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

ii) **Digital Context Underexplored:** While smartphone and social media effects are acknowledged, few studies quantitatively measure their direct influence on time management efficiency (Alblwi et al. 6).

iii) **Demographic Variation:** There is limited comparative analysis of gender and discipline-based differences in time management practices.

iv) **Regional Evidence:** Developing-country higher education contexts remain underrepresented in empirical time management research.

These gaps justify further investigation into psychological and environmental determinants of effective time management among higher education students.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research approach using a combination of descriptive, correlational, and explanatory research designs. The descriptive design is used to present the demographic characteristics of respondents and to examine the general patterns of time management practices among higher education students. The correlational design helps in determining the strength and direction of relationships between effective time management and academic performance, as well as its association with psychological and environmental factors. Furthermore, the explanatory design is applied to identify significant predictors of effective time management through regression analysis. This integrated research design allows for systematic measurement, statistical testing, and interpretation of relationships among variables.

Population and Sample

The target population of this study consists of higher education students, including undergraduate (UG), postgraduate (PG), and doctoral (PhD) scholars enrolled in various academic disciplines such as Arts, Science, Commerce, Engineering, and Management. Including students from multiple levels and disciplines ensures broader representation and enhances the generalizability of findings.

The sample size is determined using standard guidelines for regression analysis, where the minimum recommended sample is calculated as $n \geq 50 + 8m$, with m representing the number of independent variables. Assuming six independent variables, the minimum required sample is 98 participants. However, to improve statistical reliability and strengthen the validity of results, the study aims to collect responses from approximately 200 to 350 students.

A stratified random sampling technique is preferred to ensure proportional representation of UG, PG, and PhD students. In cases where random access is limited, convenience sampling may be adopted while maintaining diversity in academic level and discipline.

Data Collection Tools

Primary data for the study are collected using a structured questionnaire designed to measure time management practices and related influencing factors. The questionnaire is divided into several sections. The first section gathers demographic information such as gender, age, academic level,

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

discipline, GPA, and part-time employment status. The second section assesses time management practices, including planning behavior, task prioritization, scheduling habits, and adherence to deadlines. The third section measures psychological factors such as self-discipline, motivation, and academic stress. The fourth section evaluates environmental factors including academic workload, digital distractions, and social media usage.

All items in the questionnaire are measured using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). This scaling method allows respondents to express varying levels of agreement and enables quantitative statistical analysis. Where applicable, selected items may be adapted from standardized time management or self-regulated learning scales to enhance measurement consistency and validity.

Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data are analyzed using statistical software such as SPSS or R. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations, are used to summarize respondent characteristics and variable distributions. These statistics provide an overall understanding of students' time management patterns.

To examine relationships between variables, Pearson's correlation analysis is applied. The correlation coefficient measures the strength and direction of the association between effective time management and factors such as self-discipline, motivation, stress, and academic performance. A positive correlation indicates that increases in one variable correspond with increases in another, while a negative correlation suggests an inverse relationship.

Furthermore, multiple linear regression analysis is conducted to determine the extent to which independent variables predict effective time management. The regression model includes psychological and environmental factors as predictors, while effective time management serves as the dependent variable. Statistical significance is tested at a 5% level ($p < 0.05$), allowing the study to identify which variables significantly influence time management practices among higher education students.

Reliability and Validity

To ensure reliability, internal consistency of the questionnaire is tested using Cronbach's Alpha coefficient. A Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.70 or above is considered acceptable, indicating that the items consistently measure the intended construct. Higher values (above 0.80) indicate strong reliability.

Before final data collection, a pilot study is conducted with approximately 20–30 students to test clarity, structure, and comprehensibility of the questionnaire. Feedback from pilot participants helps identify ambiguous wording, redundant items, or structural issues. Necessary modifications are made based on pilot results to improve reliability and content validity. These procedures enhance the accuracy and credibility of the research findings.

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Demographic Profile of Respondents

The study collected responses from a total of 250 higher education students enrolled in undergraduate (UG), postgraduate (PG), and doctoral (PhD) programs. Among the respondents, 54% were female and 46% were male, indicating a relatively balanced gender representation. In terms of age distribution, the majority of participants (62%) were between 18–23 years, 28% were between 24–28 years, and 10% were above 28 years.

Regarding academic level, 60% of respondents were undergraduate students, 30% were postgraduate students, and 10% were PhD scholars. Students from diverse academic disciplines participated in the study, including Arts and Humanities (25%), Science (28%), Commerce and Management (22%), and Engineering/Technical fields (25%). Approximately 38% of respondents reported being engaged in part-time employment alongside their academic studies. This diversity enhances the representativeness of the findings across different educational contexts.

Descriptive Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated to examine the overall levels of effective time management and influencing factors. The mean score for effective time management was found to be 3.62 (SD = 0.71), indicating a moderately high level of time management practices among respondents.

Among the independent variables, self-discipline recorded the highest mean (M = 3.85, SD = 0.68), suggesting that most students perceive themselves as disciplined in managing academic tasks. Motivation showed a mean score of 3.78 (SD = 0.74), reflecting generally positive academic engagement. Academic workload had a mean of 3.40 (SD = 0.82), indicating moderate perceived workload pressure.

Digital distraction, however, showed a relatively high mean score (M = 3.92, SD = 0.76), suggesting that students experience frequent interruptions from social media and smartphone usage. Academic stress had a mean of 3.55 (SD = 0.80), indicating moderate stress levels.

The relatively small standard deviations suggest consistency in responses, indicating that students shared similar perceptions regarding time management and related factors.

Hypothesis Testing

Correlation Results

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationships between effective time management and the independent variables. The results indicated a significant positive correlation between self-discipline and effective time management ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that students with higher self-discipline demonstrate stronger time management skills.

Motivation also showed a positive and significant relationship with effective time management ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.01$). In contrast, digital distraction exhibited a significant negative correlation with effective time management ($r = -0.49$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that increased digital interruptions are associated with poorer time management practices.

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

Academic stress showed a moderate negative correlation with effective time management ($r = -0.41$, $p < 0.01$). Furthermore, effective time management was positively correlated with academic performance (GPA) ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.01$), supporting the assumption that better time management contributes to improved academic outcomes.

Regression Outcomes

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify the strongest predictors of effective time management. The regression model was statistically significant ($F = 32.85$, $p < 0.001$), explaining approximately 48% of the variance in effective time management ($R^2 = 0.48$).

Among the predictors, self-discipline emerged as the strongest positive predictor ($\beta = 0.36$, $p < 0.001$), followed by motivation ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.01$). Digital distraction showed a significant negative effect ($\beta = -0.25$, $p < 0.01$). Academic stress also negatively predicted time management ($\beta = -0.18$, $p < 0.05$). Part-time employment and workload showed weaker but statistically significant effects.

The findings indicate that psychological factors play a more dominant role compared to environmental factors in predicting effective time management.

Significance Levels

All major relationships were statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ level, and most were significant at $p < 0.01$ level. This confirms that the proposed hypotheses are largely supported by empirical evidence.

Interpretation of Findings

The results reveal that self-discipline and motivation are the most influential factors affecting effective time management among higher education students. Students who demonstrate strong internal control, goal orientation, and commitment to academic responsibilities are more likely to manage their time effectively.

Digital distraction emerged as a significant negative factor, highlighting the impact of smartphone use and social media engagement on students' study routines. Academic stress also negatively influences time management, suggesting that poor scheduling may both cause and result from stress.

Importantly, the positive relationship between effective time management and GPA confirms that structured planning and prioritization contribute to better academic performance. While environmental factors such as workload and part-time employment influence time management, psychological factors appear to have stronger predictive power.

Overall, the findings suggest that interventions aimed at improving self-discipline, enhancing motivation, and reducing digital distractions could significantly strengthen time management skills among higher education students.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The present study examined the factors influencing effective time management among higher education students and analyzed its relationship with academic performance. The findings revealed that time management is significantly influenced by both psychological and environmental factors.

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

Among the variables examined, self-discipline and motivation emerged as the strongest positive predictors of effective time management. Students who demonstrated higher levels of self-regulatory behavior and academic commitment were more capable of organizing their schedules, prioritizing tasks, and adhering to deadlines. The study also identified digital distraction as a major negative factor affecting time management practices. Frequent engagement with smartphones, social media, and other online platforms significantly reduced students' ability to allocate sufficient time to academic tasks. Academic stress further contributed to poor time management, indicating that stress and ineffective scheduling may reinforce one another. Additionally, the results confirmed a significant positive relationship between effective time management and academic performance (GPA), supporting the assumption that structured planning and prioritization enhance academic achievement. The findings highlight that effective time management is not merely a technical scheduling skill but a multidimensional competency influenced by motivation, discipline, stress management, and environmental conditions. These results reinforce the importance of developing structured time-use strategies in higher education settings.

Contribution of the Study

This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge in several important ways. First, it provides an integrated analysis of psychological and environmental determinants of time management within a single empirical framework. While previous studies often examined factors such as self-regulation or procrastination independently, this research combines multiple influencing variables to offer a more comprehensive understanding. Second, the study empirically establishes the relationship between effective time management and academic performance in a higher education context, offering statistical evidence that time management practices significantly predict GPA. This strengthens the theoretical linkage between self-regulation theory and academic success. Third, the study contributes practical insights for educational institutions. The identification of self-discipline and motivation as key predictors suggests that universities should design workshops, mentoring programs, and counseling interventions aimed at strengthening students' self-regulatory skills. Furthermore, recognizing the negative impact of digital distraction provides important guidance for developing digital awareness initiatives and responsible technology usage policies.

Limitations of the Study

Despite its contributions, the study has certain limitations. First, the research relied on self-reported questionnaire data, which may be subject to response bias or social desirability bias. Students might overestimate their time management skills or underreport distractions. Second, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships. Although regression analysis identifies predictors, it cannot conclusively determine long-term cause-and-effect relationships between time management and academic performance. Third, the sample was limited to a specific group of higher education students, which may restrict the generalizability of findings to other regions or educational systems. Differences in institutional culture, academic structure, and socio-economic conditions may influence time management behaviors differently. Finally, certain variables such as personality traits, emotional intelligence, and learning styles were not included in the analysis, which may also influence time management practices.

IJAMSR

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research (IJAMSR) ISSN:2581-4281

Future Research

Future research can address these limitations by adopting longitudinal research designs to examine how time management practices evolve over time and how they influence long-term academic outcomes. A longitudinal approach would provide stronger evidence of causality between time management and performance. Further studies may incorporate additional psychological constructs such as emotional intelligence, resilience, personality traits (e.g., conscientiousness), and procrastination tendencies to develop a more comprehensive predictive model. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) could be employed to examine mediating and moderating relationships among variables. Researchers may also explore comparative studies across different universities, disciplines, or countries to examine cultural and institutional variations in time management behaviors. Additionally, qualitative research methods such as interviews or focus groups could provide deeper insights into students' lived experiences and coping strategies. Finally, future studies should investigate the impact of emerging digital learning environments, hybrid education systems, and AI-based academic tools on students' time management practices. Given the increasing integration of technology in higher education, understanding how digital platforms influence time allocation will be crucial for developing effective academic support systems.

References

1. Aeon, Brian, and Herman Aguinis. "It's About Time: New Perspectives and Insights on Time Management." *Academy of Management Perspectives*, vol. 31, no. 4, 2017, pp. 309–330.
2. Alblwi, Ahmed, et al. "Smartphone Addiction and Its Impact on Academic Performance and Time Management among University Students." *Education and Information Technologies*, 2022, pp. 1–15.
3. Broadbent, Jaclyn, and Wai Sze Poon. "Self-Regulated Learning Strategies & Academic Achievement in Online Higher Education Learning Environments." *Internet and Higher Education*, vol. 27, 2015, pp. 1–13.
4. Gao, Lihua, et al. "Academic Procrastination and Psychological Distress among College Students." *Journal of American College Health*, vol. 71, no. 1, 2023, pp. 110–118.
5. Huang, Xuan, et al. "Self-Regulation and Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analysis." *Educational Research Review*, vol. 38, 2023, pp. 85–95.
6. Liu, Yifan, et al. "Goal Orientation and Academic Performance among University Students." *Learning and Individual Differences*, vol. 90, 2021, pp. 150–160.
7. Panadero, Ernesto. "A Review of Self-Regulated Learning: Six Models and Four Directions for Research." *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 8, 2017, pp. 1–28.
8. Ramdass, Darshanand, and Barry J. Zimmerman. "Developing Self-Regulation Skills." *Educational Psychologist*, vol. 46, no. 2, 2016, pp. 194–207.
9. Rozental, Alexander, et al. "Understanding and Treating Procrastination: A Review." *European Psychologist*, vol. 23, no. 1, 2018, pp. 16–27.
10. Sirois, Fuschia M., and Timothy A. Pychyl. "Procrastination and Stress: Exploring the Role of Self-Regulation Failure." *Stress and Health*, vol. 32, no. 5, 2016, pp. 1–10.